1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 SAMUEL VAN NORTON CHAPMAN, 11 Plaintiff, No. CIV S-05-0064 LKK DAD P 12 VS. 13 DONALD C. BYRD, et al., 14 Defendants. FINDINGS & RECOMMENDATIONS 15 16 Recent court orders served on plaintiff at his address of record were returned by 17 the postal service marked "Paroled." It appears that plaintiff has failed to comply with Local 18 Rule 83-182(f), which requires that a party appearing in propria persona inform the court of any 19 address change. 20 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed for 21 plaintiff's failure to keep the court apprised of his current address. See Local Rules 83-182(f) 22 and 11-110. 23 These findings and recommendations will be submitted to the United States 24 District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within 25 twenty days after these findings and recommendations are served, plaintiff may file written 26 objections with the court. A document containing objections should be titled "Objections to

## Case 2:05-cv-00064-LKK-DAD Document 13 Filed 06/20/06 Page 2 of 2

Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may, under certain circumstances, waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. See Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991). DATED: June 19, 2006. UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE DAD:13:mp chap0064.33a